

# Syntactic Conditions for Antichain Property in Consistency Restoring Prolog

Vu H. N. Phan

Rice University (2017–present)

Texas Tech University (2014–2017)

<https://vuphan314.github.io/>

2018-07-18 (University of Oxford, England)

Workshop on Answer Set Programming and Other Computing Paradigms  
affiliated with International Conference on Logic Programming  
part of Federated Logic Conference

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Preliminaries

- A-Prolog
- CR-Prolog

## 3 Results

- Antichain Property
- Dependency Graphs
- Main Antichain Guarantee

## 4 Conclusion

# Section 1

## Introduction

Logic programming languages:

- 1 Answer Set Prolog (A-Prolog): standard  
{[1] Gelfond and Lifschitz 1988 “The Stable Model Semantics for Logic Programming” }
- 2 Consistency Restoring Prolog (CR-Prolog): extension with cr-rules (for rare exceptions)  
{[2] Balduccini and Gelfond 2003 “Logic Programs with Consistency-Restoring Rules” }

Informal semantics:

- 1 Program: a specification for answer sets

$a$  or  $b$ .

- 2 Answer set: a set of beliefs

$$S_1 = \{a\}$$

$$S_2 = \{b\}$$

- 3 Rationality principle: fewer beliefs are better

$$S_0 = \{a, b\} \quad (\text{irrational})$$

- 4 Antichain property: no answer set is a proper subset of another

Syntactic condition guaranteeing antichain property:

- 1 cr-independence  
(dependency graph has no path from one cr-rule head literal to another)
- 2 acyclicity  
(dependency graph has no cycle)

## Section 2

# Preliminaries

Syntax & semantics:

- 1 A-Prolog (Answer Set Prolog)
- 2 CR-Prolog (Consistency Restoring Prolog)

{[3] Gelfond and Kahl 2014 *Knowledge Representation, Reasoning, and the Design of Intelligent Agents: the Answer Set Programming Approach*}

## ① Atom:

$a$

( $a$  is believed to be true)

## ② Literal:

① atom:  $a$

② classical-negation:

$\neg a$

( $a$  is believed to be false)

## ③ Extended literal:

① literal:  $a, \neg a$

② default-negation:

not  $a$

( $a$  is not believed to be true)

not  $\neg a$

( $a$  is not believed to be false)

## ① Rule:

$$l_1 \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } l_k \leftarrow l_{k+1}, \dots, l_m, \text{ not } l_{m+1}, \dots, \text{ not } l_n.$$

① **Rule Head:** the set of literals before  $\leftarrow$

② **Rule Body:** the set of extended literals after  $\leftarrow$

## ② Program: a set of rules

- 1 **Context:** a subset of literals in a program

$$\{a, \neg b\}$$

- 2 **Complementary literals:**

$a$

$\neg a$

- 3 **Consistent context:** no complementary literals
- 4 *Convention:* contexts are consistent (from now on)

Context  $\{a, c, e\}$  **satisfies**:

- 1 literal:  $a$
- 2 extended literal:  $\text{not } d$
- 3 rule head:  $a$  or  $b$
- 4 rule body:  $c, \text{not } d$
- 5 rules:

$$a \text{ or } b \leftarrow c, \text{not } d. \quad (1)$$
$$b \leftarrow \text{not } e. \quad (2)$$

- 6 program:  $\{(1), (2)\}$

① Program  $\Pi$ :

$$b \leftarrow . \quad (r_1)$$

$$a \leftarrow \text{not } b. \quad (r_2)$$

$$c \leftarrow \text{not } d. \quad (r_3)$$

② Context  $S = \{b, c\}$

③ **Reduct**  $\Pi^S$ : default-negation-free program

$$b \leftarrow . \quad (r_1)$$

$$c \leftarrow . \quad (r'_3)$$

④ Context  $S$ :

① satisfies reduct  $\Pi^S$

② has no proper subset that satisfies reduct  $\Pi^S$

⑤ Context  $S$  is an **answer set** of program  $\Pi$

- 1 **Consistent program:** having an answer set
- 2 *Example:* inconsistent program

$$a \leftarrow . \tag{1}$$
$$\neg a \leftarrow \text{not } b, \text{not } c. \tag{2}$$

- 1 A-Prolog regular rule:

$$l_1 \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } l_k \leftarrow l_{k+1}, \dots, l_m, \text{ not } l_{m+1}, \dots, \text{ not } l_n.$$

- 2 CR-Prolog **cr-rule** (consistency restoring rule):

$$l_0 \overset{+}{\leftarrow} l_1, \dots, l_m, \text{ not } l_{m+1}, \dots, \text{ not } l_n.$$

- **Cr-literal:**  $l_0$

- 3 **CR-Prolog program:** a set of regular rules & cr-rules

- 1 CR-Prolog program  $\Pi$ :

$$a \leftarrow . \quad (1)$$

$$\neg a \leftarrow \text{not } b, \text{ not } c. \quad (2)$$

$$b \overset{+}{\leftarrow} . \quad (3)$$

$$c \overset{+}{\leftarrow} . \quad (4)$$

- 2 An **abductive support**:  $R_1 = \{(3)\}$

- 1  $\Pi_{R_1}$ : A-Prolog program under  $R_1$ -application

$$a \leftarrow . \quad (1)$$

$$\neg a \leftarrow \text{not } b, \text{ not } c. \quad (2)$$

$$b \leftarrow . \quad (3')$$

- 2 Context  $S_1 = \{a, b\}$ : an answer set of  $\Pi_{R_1}$ , so an **answer set** of  $\Pi$

- 3 Another abductive support:  $R_2 = \{(4)\}$ ; corresponding answer set:  $S_2 = \{a, c\}$

## Section 3

### Results

- 1 Antichain property: desirable semantic feature
- 2 Dependency graphs: syntactic abstractions of programs
- 3 Main antichain guarantee: cr-independence & acyclicity

Program has **antichain property** if: no answer set is a proper subset of another

- 1 All A-Prolog programs have antichain property
- 2 Some CR-Prolog programs do not have antichain property

# Antichain Property

*Example:* CR-Prolog program without antichain property

$$a \leftarrow . \tag{1}$$

$$\neg a \leftarrow \text{not } b, \text{ not } c. \tag{2}$$

$$b \stackrel{+}{\leftarrow} . \tag{3}$$

$$c \stackrel{+}{\leftarrow} . \tag{4}$$

$$b \leftarrow c. \tag{5}$$

- 1 Abductive supports:  $R_1 = \{(3)\}$  &  $R_2 = \{(4)\}$
- 2 Answer set chain:  $S_1 = \{a, b\} \subsetneq \{a, c, b\} = S_2$
- 3 In (5): “dependence” of cr-literal  $b$  from (3) on cr-literal  $c$  from (4)

# Dependency Graphs

- 1 CR-Prolog program:

$$a \text{ or } b \leftarrow c, d, \text{ not } e. \quad (1)$$

$$x \overset{+}{\leftarrow} y. \quad (2)$$

- 2 **Dependency graph:**

- 1 Vertices:  $a, b, c, d, e, x, y$
- 2 Directed edges: from positive body to head of each rule

$$c \mapsto a$$

$$c \mapsto b$$

$$d \mapsto a$$

$$d \mapsto b$$

$$y \mapsto x$$

# Dependency Graphs: CR-Independence

- 1 CR-Prolog program:

$$a \longleftarrow x. \tag{1}$$

$$x \overset{+}{\longleftarrow} b. \tag{2}$$

- 2 Literal  $a$  **depends** on literal  $b$  if: dependency graph has path from  $b$  to  $a$
- 3 Program is **cr-independent** if: no cr-literal depends on another

# Dependency Graphs: CR-Independence

*Example:* cr-dependent program

$$a \longleftarrow . \quad (1)$$

$$\neg a \longleftarrow \text{not } b, \text{ not } c. \quad (2)$$

$$b \xleftarrow{+} . \quad (3)$$

$$c \xleftarrow{+} . \quad (4)$$

$$b \longleftarrow c. \quad (5)$$

Answer set chain:  $S_1 = \{a, b\} \subsetneq \{a, b, c\} = S_2$

# Dependency Graphs: Acyclicity

- 1 Cycle in dependency graph:

$$a \leftarrow b. \tag{1}$$

$$b \leftarrow a. \tag{2}$$

- 2 CR-Prolog program is **acyclic** if: dependency graph contains no cycle

- 3 *Remark:* context  $S$  is answer set of acyclic A-Prolog program  $\Pi$  if:

- 1  $S$  satisfies  $\Pi$

- 2 for each literal  $l \in S$ , some rule  $r \in \Pi$  exists where:

- 1  $S$  satisfies  $\text{body}(r)$

- 2  $\text{head}(r) \cap S = \{l\}$

{[4] Ben-Eliyahu and Dechter 1994 "Propositional Semantics for Disjunctive Logic Programs" }

## Theorem

A CR-Prolog program  $\Pi$  has antichain property (no answer set is a proper subset of another) if  $\Pi$  is:

- 1 *cr-independent* (no path from a cr-literal to another), and
- 2 *acyclic* (no cycle).

## Section 4

### Conclusion

- 1 CR-Prolog: A-Prolog extended with consistency restoring rules
- 2 Desirable antichain property: no answer set is a proper subset of another
- 3 Sufficient syntactic condition:
  - 1 cr-independence
  - 2 acyclicity